गुजरात के लिए रेल परियोजनाएं

2568. **श्री चिमनभाई हरिभाई शुक्ल:** क्या **प्रधानमंत्री** यह बताने की कृपा करेंगे कि:

(क) आठवीं पंचवर्षीय योजना और चालू वित्तीय वर्ष के दौरान, गुजरात में कौन-कौन सी नई रेल परियोजनाएं शुरू की जाएंगी;

(ख) इन परियोजनाओं पर कुल कितनी धनराशि खर्च की जाएगी और प्रत्येक परियोजना की अनुमानित लागत कितनी है; और

(ग) सरकार ने इन परियोजनाओं को निर्धारित समय में पूरा करने के लिए क्या कार्रवाई की है?

रेल मंत्रालय में राज्य मंत्री (श्री सुरेश कलमाडी): (क) और (ख) 8 वीं पंचवर्षीय योजना तथा चालू वित वर्ष के दौरान शुरू की जाने वाली नई रेल परियोजनाओं का ब्यौरा इस प्रकार है:—

परियोजना का नाम	अनुमानित लागत (करोड़ रुपये में)	1995-96 के बजट में उपलब्ध कराई गई राशि (करोड़ रुपये में)
1. कषडवंज-मोडाला नई बड़ी लाइन (59.71 कि॰ मी॰)	45.00	2.00
2. गोधरा-इंदौर दाहोद, सरदारपुर, धार के रास्ते तथा देवास और मकसी (कुल 316 कि॰ मी॰) (गुजरात राज्य में लगभग (110 कि॰ मी॰) के बीच नई बड़ी लाइन	279.14	1.00
3. वीरमगाम से मेहसाणा आमान परिवर्तन (175 कि॰ मी॰)	155.66	40.00
4. फुलेरा-मारवाड़-अहमदाबाद आमान परिवर्तन (कुल 572 कि॰ मी॰) गुजरात में 172 कि॰ मी॰	456.57	132.43
5. राजकोट-वराबल आमान परिवर्तन (185 कि॰ मी॰)	100.00	1.00
6. गांधीधाम-भुज आमान परिवर्तन (58 कि॰ मी॰)*	26.14	2.00
7. बांकानेर-मलिया मियाणा आमान परिवर्तन (90 कि॰ मी॰)	51.00	35.00

* योजना आयोग द्वारा अनुमोदित किए जाने पर

(ग) पर्याप्त धनराशि और सामग्रियां उपलब्ध कराई जा रही हैं,

Violation of MOU by Hindustan Latex Limited

2569. SHRI VAYALAR RAVI: Will the Minister of HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE be pleased to state:

(a) whether there is any direction as per the Memorandum of Understanding to give purchase preference to the condoms provided by Hindustan Latex Limited, Thiruvananthapuram;

(b) if so, whether Government have purchased condom from any other companies violating the directions of MOU; and

(c) if so, the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF HEALTH AND FAMILY WELFARE (SHRI A.R ANTULAY): (a) As per MOU 95-96, signed between the Government of India and Hindustan Latex Limited (HLL), Thiruvananthapuram, HLL will be given purchase preference vis-a-vis Private firms in the placement of Government orders in accordance with the overall Government Policy and Instructions in this regard.

(b) and (c) Out of 668.5 million pieces of condoms for which orders have been placed so far during 1995-96, HLL was given orders for 405.5 million pieces. Purchase preference due to Public Sector Undertakings has not been violated by the Department of Family Welfare while placing the orders for supply of condoms.

SCAM in Allotment of Shops

2570. SHRI RAJNATH SINGH:
SHRIMATI MALTI SHARMA:
SHRI KANAKSINH MOHANSINH MANGROLA:

Will the Minister of URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT be pleased to state:

(a) whether Government are aware of the mega scam indulged in the allotment of shops by Directorate of Estates as reported in Jansatta of 19th July, 1995;

(b) if so, the details thereof;

(c) the corrective steps taken thereon;

(d) the number of shops allotted by the then Minister to his personal staff and their close relatives during the period 1994-95;

(e) the grounds on which such allotments were made;

(f) whether Government have received any representation against such allotments;

(g) if so, the details thereof and the action taken thereon;

(h) whether any tenders were invited for allotment of shops; and

(i) if not, the reasons therefor?

THE MINISTER OF STATE OF THE DEPTT. OF URBAN DEVELOPMENT IN THE MINISTRY OF URBAN AFFAIRS AND EMPLOYMENT (SHRI R.K. DHAWAN): (a) and (b) A news item appeared in Delhi Edition of 'Jansatta' dated 19th July, '95 in regard to allotment of shops. These shops/stalls were allotted by the Directorate of Estates as per the orders of the competent authority. The details are given in the enclosed statement. (*See below*)

(c) It has been decided that all vacant shops, in future, would be allotted through sealed tenders.

(d) Two.

(e) While allotment in one case was made on the ground of applicant being a "lady" in the second case, allotment was made in the name of applicant under discretionary powers of competent Authority.

(f) No, Sir.

(g) Does not airse.

(h) No, Sir.

(i) These shops/stalls were to be disposed of through auction but efforts did not succeed. Moreover some of the shops/stalls had earlier been allotted on economic licence fee basis and competent authority decided to allot these shops also on similar terms.